PATENT



Delication of:

D. MCCabe et al.

Confirmation No.:

Serial No.:

09/559,320

Art Unit:

3624

Filed:

April 27, 2000

Examiner: D. Felten

For:

DERIVATIVE SECURITIES

Attorney Docket No:

10449-003

TRADING PRODUCT UTILIZING

SUBSETS OF INDICES OR

PORTFOLIOS

FEE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

RECEIVED

FEB 2 8 2003

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

GROUP 3600

The fee required to be filed with the accompanying amendment of even date herewith concerning the above-identified application has been estimated to be \$84.00.

The claim amendment fee has been estimated as shown below:

(Col. 1)0			(Col. 2)	(Col. 3) PRESENT EXTRA	☐ SMALL ENTITY			OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY			
CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID	RATE			ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE		ADDIT. FEE	
TOTAL	22	MINUS	24	0	x 9	s		x 18	\$	0.00	
INDEP.	7	MINUS	6	11	x 42	\$			x 84		84.00
<u>-</u>						\$				\$	0.00
☐ FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM					TOTAL	\$		OR	TOTAL	\$	84.00

Please charge the required fee to Pennie & Edmonds LLP Deposit Account No. 16-1150. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Date:

February 26, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Nanda K. Alapati

For

Reg. No. 39,893 Reg. No. 31,231

Victor N. Balancia

PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP

1667 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 496-4400

Enclosure



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: D. MCCABE et al.

Application No.: 09/559,320

Examiner: D. Felten

Filed: April 27, 2000

Examiner: B. 1 citon

Group Art Unit: 3624

For: DERIVATIVE SECURITIES

Attorney Docket No.: 10449-0003

TRADING PRODUCT UTILIZING

SUBSETS OF INDICES OR PORTFOLIOS

AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
FEB 2 8 2003

GROUP 3600

Dear Sir or Madam:

In response to the Office Action mailed January 17, 2003, please enter the following amendments and remarks into the file of the above-identified application.

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

A marked-up copy of the amended paragraphs showing <u>insertions</u> and [deletions] is attached in Appendix A. A clean copy of the amended paragraphs is also attached in Appendix B.

Paragraph at page 8, lines 1-8:

In the above-described preferred embodiment, there is a single child portfolio (the SPDRX) which comprises all the stocks traded in a single market (in this case, the NASDAQ). And among a total of N = 500 different securities in the parent portfolio (i.e., the S&P 500-based SPDR), $M_1 = 54$ different securities of the child portfolio all are traded on a first securities market (the NASDAQ), while none of the other $N - M_1 = 446$ different securities in the parent portfolio are traded on that first securities market. The benefits of the SPDRX of this first embodiment now become evident. Instead of placing $M_1 = 54$ separate orders with NASDAQ dealers to trade the orders, an SPDR specialist need only place a single order to buy or sell shares of C_{eq1} with the SPDRX specialist. Since the SPDRX shares are traded automatically, this means that the SPDR specialist realizes the benefits of speed and efficiency previously accorded only for those remaining 446 stocks not individually traded on the NASDAQ.